

Chronology of Events

06.17.11 Email from Elisabeth O'Brien requesting a meeting the following week
- JN, CS, EO

6.20.11 - 1 PM met w/ Chuck & Betsy around Norfolk County samples tested w/o lab COC. Samples tested by Annie Dookhan. Discussed issue, planned to ask Annie about discrepancy immediately but she was in court and was scheduled for court the following morning.

06.20.11 - 3 PM met w/ Linda Han and briefed her on situation

6.21.11 - EO examined log book. Initials and date had been entered for Quincy samples (suspect). Met w/ Annie, he could not explain the previous lack of documentation and sudden appearance of initials. Annie said Gloria Phillips assigned samples to her. When I stated that from where I sat it looks as if, she entered the signatures to cover up taking the samples, she said, "I can see why you would think that."

JN immediately removed her from testing samples. JN allowed her to finish up paperwork for pending samples.

06.22.11 – JN to Portland, Oregon. Emailed EO and asked her to contact GP and ask about discrepancy.

06.24.11 EO email saying GP stated she always scans & signs immediately

Following week – had PP remove her from GC/MS rotation, made desk space available in 306.

AD – working exclusively on SOPs, discovery packets, testifying in court
Later changed policy – AD needed to ask PP for MS data

Betsy's Notes

Chronology of Events

mail from Elisabeth O'Brien requesting a meeting the following week
JN, CS, EO

PM met w/ Chuck & Betsy around Norfolk County samples tested w/o
COC. Samples tested by Annie Dookhan. Discussed issue, planned
ask Annie about discrepancy immediately but she was in court and
scheduled for court the following morning.

PM met w/ Linda Han and briefed her on situation

I examined log book. Initials and date had been entered for Quincy
spect). Met w/ Annie, he could not explain the previous lack of
on and sudden appearance of initials. Annie said Gloria Phillips
mples to her. When I stated that from where I sat it looks as if, she
signatures to cover up taking the samples, she said, "I can see why
ink that."

ely removed her from testing samples. JN allowed her to finish up
r pending samples.

N to Portland, Oregon. Emailed EO and asked her to contact GP
ask about discrepancy.

EO email saying GP stated she always scans & signs immediately

ek - had PP remove her from GC/MS rotation, made desk space
06.

I exclusively on SOPs, discovery packets, testifying in court
d policy - AD needed to ask PP for MS data

On 6-16-2011 it was brought to my attention by Shirley Sprague that certain Quincy samples had
not been properly assigned to Annie. Shirley needed to manually add Annie's name to the
computer when entering results from the evidence control cards. This is not a necessary step
when the samples are properly scanned out to a chemist by the evidence officer.

When I reviewed the cards Shirley gave to me I confirmed that the chain of custody was
incomplete for those samples. When evaluating the scope of potential samples that were
unaccounted for it was determined that Lab numbers B11-04265 through B11-04350 (Quincy,
excluding B11-04289 and B11-04301, "cert only" samples) and B11-04351 through B11-04359
Wellesley were not in the safe as they should have been. I looked in the logbook and those
samples were not manually signed out as is evidence office policy.

At this time I alerted Chuck. We subsequently met with Julie to discuss on June (xx?). I showed
Chuck and Julie the log book for those lab numbers in question and it was blank, showing no
transfer of the chain of custody. Just prior to meeting with Annie the log book was again
reviewed and surprisingly a chain of custody had been entered showing a transfer of those
samples from Gloria Phillips to Annie on 6-14-2011. However, Gloria had not been in since 6-14-
2011 and could not have written that chain of custody.

I called Gloria at home to see if she had any recollection of giving Annie the samples with the
intent of signing them out later and perhaps forgetting to scan the barcodes. She stated that she
would never do that because it is not policy or procedure to handle samples that way. On June 27
Gloria returned to work and was able to review the log book chain of custody and said the
written transfer was not in her handwriting.

During this time frame it was determined that lab numbers B11-03085 through B11-03109
Dedham, and B11-04389 through B11-04392 Cohasset also had no chain of custody and were
analyzed by Annie.